

KERALA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

Present: Sri. P. H. Kurian, Chairman, Smt. Preetha P. Menon, Member.

Complaint No. 134/2022 Dated 11th September, 2023

Complainant

Manoj Kurien Cheriyan,
 S/o Cheriyan Joseph,
 9/517 'Anugrah'
 Ikkanda Warrier Road, Thrissur- 680 001
 Currently residing at PNRA 512,
 Prasanth Nagar, Medical College P.O
 Thiruvananthapuram – 695 011

Arda Kurien,
W/o Manoj Kurien Cherian
Residing at 9/517 'Anugrah'
Ikkanda Warrier Road, Thrissur- 680 001
Currently residing at 19B, O2 Zone Apartments,
Vikaswani Junction, Thengode,
Kakkanad, Kochi- 682 030
[Adv. M.Rajendran Nair & Sreedharan Nair]

Respondents

1. Samson and Sons Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd Represented by its Managing Director Mr. John Jacob, T.C. 3/679, TKD Road, Muttada P.O, Thiruvananathapuram- 695 025.

Mr. John Jacob,
 Managing Director, Samson and Sons Builders
 & Developers Pvt. Ltd, T.C 3/678,
 Kannimattom, Muttada P.O,
 Thiruvananathapuram- 695 025.

The above Complaint came up for hearing on 19-07-2023 for which the Counsel for the Complainants attended online. Service of notice was completed on Respondents. The Respondents did not attend any of the hearings on 13-06-2022, 11-08-2022 and lastly on 19-07-2023. Hence, the Respondents set ex-parte and the Authority on 19-07-2023 decided to pass exparte order as follows:

ORDER

1. The case of the complainants is as follows:- The Complainants are allottee in the project named "Samson & Sons Sanctuary- Skylark (Block-I)" situated in RS No. 462/5, 462/6 & 465/4 Block No 34 of Karakulam village in Thiruvananthapuram with a total extent of 72.25 Ares belonging to the Respondents. The Complainants lured by the catchy advertisement and personal appeals by the 2nd Respondent and his agents entered in to an agreement for sale and construction dated 14-09-2012 with the Respondents, for the purchase of a flat in the above project. As per the agreement the Respondents agreed to sell 0.988 cents of undivided share in the said 72.25 Ares together with apartment No D-16 admeasuring (approximate) 1859 Sq.ft super built up area for a consideration of Rs. 35,00,000/- The agreement provided for the completion of the multi-storied apartment complex within the expected time frame of 31st May, 2015 with the facilities as specified in the brochure and transfer right to the allottee with proportionate right over undivided share of property free from mortgage,

charge, attachment, arrears of tax etc. and similar agreements were executed with other investors also. The Respondents were learnt to had obtained huge sum of money nearly nine crores from the State Bank of India by mortgaging the property to the bank, the Respondents were dishonestly deceiving the Complainants and other investors offering to transfer title free of mortgage, charge or other encumbrances. In spite of the lapse of several years, the Respondents did not complete the construction and they abandoned the site and thereby cheated the investors including the Complainants. The Complainants and other investors approached the Respondents when the site was so abandoned and sale proclamation notice was published by the bank, and had several discussions with the 2nd Respondent, though the 2nd Respondent initially promised and gave assurance to discharge the liabilities and complete the construction and hand over the apartment to the allottees and such assurances were violated and ignored. As the bank had initiated proceedings for the recovery of amount, the Complainant cannot expect to get completed the apartment as per agreement. Hence notice dated 03-05-2021 was issued to the Respondents demanding the money with interest and compensation as provided under section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016. The Respondents had not responded to the notice. The Complainants had paid the amount by raising loan and incurred huge loss. The Complainants and similar allottees have a first charge on the property u/s 56 of the Transfer of Property Act. The relief sought for is for a direction to the Respondents to return the sum of Rs. 35,00,000/- with interest at 18% from the date of payment ie on 10-10-2012 till date of repayment and allow the Complainants to recover the sum from the Respondents and the property as first charge on the property. The Complainants have produced copy of agreement, copies of receipts of payments, copy of brochure of the project, copy of photograph of site and copy of lawyer notice sent to the Respondents.



2. Though notice was served and ample time was granted the Respondents have not attended or filed any objection/ statement to the Complaint. The 1st Respondent is a Private Limited company, 2nd Respondent is the Managing Director, representing the 1st Respondent Company. In a Suo moto Notice issued by this Authority vide No 920/K-RERA/2020 dated 07-11-2020, with respect to a Complaint in No. 158/2020 filed by an allottee of another project named 'Orchid Valley' against the Respondent/promoter herein, the Authority directed the 2nd Respondent, the Managing Director of the 1st Respondent Company, to show cause for the non-registration of the said on-going real estate project named "Samson & Son's Orchid Valley" at Kudappanakkunnu village Thiruvananthapuram, under Section 3 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, [herein after referred to as the Act, 2016]. Since the Respondent had not replied, second notice was sent on 11-02-2021 and to the same also, the Respondent had not replied. Thereafter, notice was sent again, directing Respondent to attend for an online hearing for taking a decision in the matter of registration of the said project in respect to which, the 2nd Respondent had furnished a reply dated 14-06-2021, in which it was submitted that the Respondent Company, engaged in the construction of multi-storied residential and commercial apartments, had undertaken and completed various projects for the last 13 years including the one mentioned above. According to the Respondent, the project was started prior to the commencement of the Act, 2016 and therefore the project could not be registered. Various factors affected the construction of the project, in the meantime, the customers of the projects were withdrawn from the agreement and filed cases against the company before various Forums including this Authority, the Directors of the Company were arrested and committed to jail. Even though the Directors were arrested and committed to prison, construction were going on, but under the pretext of cases, the customers had defaulted the payments of their shares of money as



per the agreements. Apart from that, the property wherein the project was proposed to be constructed was surrendered as collateral security in Muthoot Fincorp and due to the default of payment from the customers, the bank has taken possession of the property under SARFAESI Act and the company is not having possession over the project now. In the situation the company could not proceed with the construction works of the projects. Attachment orders by the Civil Courts are also pending with respect to the project land and hence the company was constrained to abandon the project. Since the project is abandoned, the project could not be registered under the provisions of the Act, 2016. If the circumstances allow to proceed with the project in future and if cases are settled by customers and when attachment orders are withdrawn, the project can be proceeded with and under that circumstances it will be registered with the Authority and the Respondent requested the Authority to accept the reply and taken into file.

3. As the said reply was not satisfactory, the Authority sent a notice dated 28-10-2021 again to the Respondent to attend for hearing. But the Respondent failed to attend the hearing. Thereafter, the 2nd Respondent filed a reply on 06-04-2022 and submitted that cases are pending before Consumer Commission and in the National Company Law Tribunal (Kochi Branch), liquidation proceedings are going on and all relevant documents and account details are under the custody of the Insolvency Professional appointed by the National Company Tribunal Kochi Branch, Kerala. The Respondent has produced copy of Order No CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 03-11-2021 of the National Company Law Tribunal Kochi Branch, Kerala, ordering Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process in a Petition filed by one Operational creditor Mr. Vijayakumaran J, V.J. Constructions Kanyakumari District against the Corporate Debtor, Respondents No. 1 herein, and Copy of Order No I.A. (IBC) No. 14(KOB)/2022 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 16-03-2022 appointing Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair as the Resolution Professional in this



matter. Then the Authority issued notice to the said Resolution Professional on 23-08-2022, calling details of proceedings initiated against the Respondent/ Promoter, in response to which Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair, the Resolution Professional, vide letter dated 13-09-2022, has submitted a report with respect to the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) of Respondent No 1 under Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. In the said report, the Resolution Professional stated that the NCLT bench had initiated CIRP with effect from 03-11-2021 vide order No CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 03-11-2021 and the present Resolution Professional was appointed vide Order No I.A. (IBC) No. 14 (KOB)/2022 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 16-03-2022 and made public announcements inviting for the claims on 15-11-2021, the Resolution Professional appointed the IBBI registered valuers on 25-05-2022 to estimate the fair and liquidation value of the assets as per IBC, appointed Forensic auditors also to find out the excessive PUFE transactions, the Resolution Professional made a public announcement on 10-06-2022 in Form G giving Expression of Interest from the Prospective resolution Applicants based on which the last date for Resolution Application was 18-09-2022, which the applicants requested for extension due to the reason that, i) the audited financials are available only up to 31-03-2015, ii) the accounts, financials and tally are unsigned and provisionally submitted only on 21-07-2022, iii) Resolution Professional prepared the Information Memorandum based only on the available information, which needs substantial modification also after getting the major details such a Allottee Register, Fixed Asset Register, Statutory Registers, Minutes of Board Meeting, AGM, EOGM, bank statement etc, and iv) since the Resolution Professional had not received these details and documents in spite of repeated requests in writing, emails and phone calls, the RP filed a report u/s 19(2) of the IBC for Non- Co-operation against the Promoter Directors, the second hearing was on 19-09-2022. The Resolution Professional has also produced

copy of Order No CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 03-11-2021 of the National Company Law Tribunal Kochi Branch, Kerala and copy of Order No I.A. (IBC) No. 14 (KOB)/2022 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 16-03-2022 appointing Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair as the Resolution Professional.

- 4. Thereafter, the Resolution Professional through email dated 04-05-2023, has forwarded copy of order of the NCLT Kochi Branch No I.A. (IBC) 157 (KOB)/2023 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 26-04-2023. As per the said order, the Respondent M/s Samson & Sons Builders and Developers (P) Ltd., was ordered to be liquidated u/s 33 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code 2016. Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair, the Insolvency Resolution Professional was appointed as the Liquidator of M/s Samson and Sons Builders and Developers Pvt. Ltd., and directions was issued for the Liquidation process. Then on the basis of the said order the Counsel for the Complainants also appeared before the Authority, to revive the files which were kept in abeyance and requested to pass orders. Copy of the said order is produced by him also.
- 5. The project still remains un-registered under Section 3 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, before this Authority.
- 6. Heard the Counsel for the Complainants and examined the documents submitted by the Complainant carefully and decided to pass order as follows:
- 7. The copies of documents produced by the Complainants are marked as **Exhibit A1 to A8**. The copy of agreement for sale and construction dated 14-09-2012 is marked as **Exhibit A1**. As per the said agreement the Respondents agreed to sell 0.988 cents of undivided share in the said 178 cents together with apartment No C-16 admeasuring (approximate) 1859 Sq.ft super built up area in the multi-storied residential apartment complex, with car parking area and the proportionate common areas and common amenities. The copies of receipts issued by the 2nd



Respondent, for the payments made by the Complainants are marked as Exhibit A2 series. As per the said receipts the Complainants had made a total payment of Rs. 35,00,000/- to the Respondents. Exhibit A2(a) is the copy of receipt of an amount of Rupees Twelve lakh was paid on 12-11-2012, A2(b) is the copy of receipt of an amount of Rupees One lakh paid on12-11-2012. A2(c) is the copy of receipt of an amount of Rupees Seventeen lakh paid on again on 13-11-2012. A2(d) is the copy of receipt of an amount of Rupees Five lakh paid on again on 14-09-2012. The copy of Brochure of the project released by the Respondent is marked as Exhibit A3. The brochure itself shows that the project was planned with more than one towers. The copy of photographs of the project site is marked as Exhibit A4 series. The photo graphs reveal that the project is still incomplete and in its initial stage, ie in the level of erecting pillars at 1st or 2nd floor level. The copy of lawyer notice dated 03-03-2021 sent by the Complainants to the Respondent is marked as Exhibit A5. The copy of Order in I.A No. 968/21 in C.C.No. 174/2016 dated 18-04-2013 of the Kerala State Consumer disputes Redressal Commission, Thiruvananthapuram produced by the Complainants is marked as Exhibit A6. The copy of final Order in C.C.No. 143/2016 dated 15-06-2013 of the Kerala State Consumer disputes Redressal Commission, Thiruvananthapuram produced by the Complainants is marked as Exhibit A7. The copy of order of the NCLT Kochi Branch in No I.A. (IBC) 157 (KOB)/2023 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 26-04-2023 with respect to initiation of liquidation process by appointing Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair, the Insolvency Resolution Professional as the Liquidator of M/s Samson and Sons Builders and Developers Pvt. Ltd which is produced by the Counsel for Complainants is marked as **Exhibit A8.** The Exhibits A6, A7 and A8 reveals that the assets of the Respondents are under Corporate Insolvency Resolution process and the National Company Law Tribunal has ordered Liquidation of



the Respondent No. 1 Company and a Liquidator was also appointed for this purpose.

- 8. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Pioneer Urban Land and Infrastructure Limited & Another Vs Union of India and Others (2019) 8 SCC 416 held that the Act, 2016 is to be read harmoniously with the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, as amended by the Amendment Act and it is only in the event of conflict that the Code will prevail over the Act, 2016, the remedies that are given to allottees of flats/apartments are therefore concurrent remedies, such allottees of flats/apartments being in a position to avail of remedies under the Consumer Protection Act, 1986, the Act, 2016 as well as the triggering of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code. Hence this Authority is competent to adjudicate the matter in question under the Complaint.
- 9. Section 52 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code 2016, [herein after referred to as "the Code, 2016"] explains the position of a secured creditor in liquidation proceedings, as follows:
 - "(1) A secured creditor in the liquidation proceedings may—
 - (a) relinquish its security interest to the liquidation estate and receive proceeds from the sale of assets by the liquidator in the manner specified in section 53; or
 - (b) realise its security interest in the manner specified in this section.
 - (2) Where the secured creditor realises security interest under clause (b) of sub-section (1), he shall inform the liquidator of such security interest and identify the asset subject to such security interest to be realised.
 - (3) Before any security interest is realised by the secured creditor under this section, the liquidator shall verify such security interest and permit the secured creditor to realise only such security interest, the existence of which may be proved either—
 - (a) by the records of such security interest maintained by an information utility; or
 - (b) by such other means as may be specified by the Board.



- (4) <u>A secured creditor may enforce, realise, settle, compromise or deal with the secured assets in accordance with such law as applicable to the security interest being realised and to the secured creditor and apply the proceeds to recover the debts due to it.</u>
- (5) If in the course of realising a secured asset, any secured creditor faces resistance from the corporate debtor or any person connected therewith in taking possession of, selling or otherwise disposing of the security, the secured creditor may make an application to the Adjudicating Authority to facilitate the secured creditor to realise such security interest in accordance with law for the time being in force.
- (6) The Adjudicating Authority, on the receipt of an application from a secured creditor under sub-section (5) may pass such order as may be necessary to permit a secured creditor to realise security interest in accordance with law for the time being in force.
- (7) Where the enforcement of the security interest under subsection (4) yields an amount by way of proceeds which is in excess of the debts due to the secured creditor, the secured creditor shall—
- (a) account to the liquidator for such surplus; and
- (b) tender to the liquidator any surplus funds received from the enforcement of such secured assets.
- (8) The amount of insolvency resolution process costs, due from secured creditors who realise their security interests in the manner provided in this section, shall be deducted from the proceeds of any realisation by such secured creditors, and they shall transfer such amounts to the liquidator to be included in the liquidation estate.
- (9) Where the proceeds of the realisation of the secured assets are not adequate to repay debts owed to the secured creditor, the unpaid debts of such secured creditor shall be paid by the liquidator in the manner specified in clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 53."
- 10. Before passing an order in the above Complaint we have to establish that the project in question is a real estate project comes under Section 3 of the Act, 2016. Section 2 (zn) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, defines "real estate project" as "the development of a building or a building consisting of apartments, or converting an existing building or a part there of in to apartments, or the development of land in to plots or apartments as the case may be, for the



purpose of selling all or some of the said apartments or plots or building, as the case may be and includes the common areas, the development works, all improvements and structures thereon, and all easement, rights and appurtenances belonging thereto." The first proviso to Section 3 (1) of the Act, 2016 stipulates that "projects that are ongoing on the date of commencement of the Act and for which the completion certificate has not been issued, the promoter shall make an application to the Authority for registration of the said project within a period of three months from the date of commencement of the Act." As far as the provisions of the Act, 2016, are concerned any development where the area of land proposed to be developed exceeds 500 Sq mt, the project has to be registered under section 3 of the Act, 2016. As the project in question here has not been completed as evident from the documents including photographs and for which occupancy certificate has not been obtained so far, the real estate project promoted by the Respondents is an on-going project. Rule 3(2) of the Kerala Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2018 states that all ongoing projects for which the occupancy certificate has not been issued up on commencement of section 3 of the Act 2016, the promoter shall make an application in 'Form A1' to the Authority for registration of the project. The date of commencement of Section 3 of the Act, 2016 was 1-05-2017. Exhibit A1 agreement, A3 brochure, A4 photographs also reveals that the project developed as multi storied residential complex with more than one tower in 178 cents is still incomplete and has not obtained occupancy certificate so far and hence it can be concluded that the project comes under the provisions of the Act, 2016 and requires to be registered u/s 3 of the Act, 2016.

11. The relief sought is for direction to return the amount paid by the Complainants along with interest from the date of payment till the



date of receipt of the amount. Section 18(1) of the Act 2016 stipulates that "If the promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment, plot or building, in accordance with the terms of the agreement for sale or, as the case may be, duly completed by the date specified therein; he shall be liable on demand to the allottees, in case the allottee wishes to withdraw from the project, without prejudice to any other remedy available, to return the amount received by him in respect of that apartment, plot building, as the case may be, with interest at such rate as may be prescribed in this behalf including compensation in the manner as provided under this Act: Provided that where the allottee does not intend to withdraw from the project, he shall be paid by the promoter, interest for every month of delay, till the handing over of the possession, at such rate as may be prescribed." As per Section 19(4) of the Act 2016, "the allottee shall be entitled to claim the refund of the amount paid with interest as such rate as may be prescribed, if the promoter fails to comply or is unable to give possession of the apartment, plot or building as the case may be, in accordance with the terms of the agreement for sale". As per Exhibit A1 agreement the project had to be completed before 31st May, 2015. From the pleadings and the documents produced as Exhibit A4, A6 and A7, it is confirmed that the project is one which had been abandoned by the Respondents/ Promoters. Section 18(1) of the Act, 2016 is applicable in cases where the promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment, plot, or building in accordance with the terms of the agreement for sale duly completed by the date specified therein. Moreover, Section 18(1) of the Act clearly provides two options to the allottees viz. (1) either to withdraw from the project and seek refund of the amount paid with interest and compensation (2) or to continue with the project and seek interest for delay till handing over of possession. In this case the



Complainants selected the second option, to withdraw from the project and to claim refund with interest.

As per the Exhibit A1 agreement, the promised date of completion and handing over was on 31st May, 2015, but Exhibit A4, A6, A7 reveals that the project remains still incomplete. Hence it is clear that the Respondent/Promoter has grievously failed to perform his part and honour the promises given to the Complainants who trusted him and invested their hard-earned savings and have been waiting for a long period in the dream of a roof over the head. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in its landmark judgment dated 11.11.2021 in M/s Newtech Promoters & Developers Pvt. Ltd. vs State of U P & Ors., observed as follows: "The unqualified right of the allottee to seek refund referred under Section 18(1)(a) and Section 19(4) of the Act is not dependent on any contingencies or stipulations thereof. It appears that the legislature has consciously provided this right of refund on demand as an unconditional absolute right to the allottee, if the promoter fails to give possession of the apartment, plot or building within the time stipulated under the terms of the agreement regardless of unforeseen events or stay orders of the Court/Tribunal, which is in either way not attributable to the allottee/home buyer, the promoter is under an obligation to refund the amount on demand with interest at the rate prescribed by the State Government including compensation in the manner provided under the Act". In these circumstances, the complainants herein are entitled to withdraw from the project under Section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act 2016, and claim the return of the amount paid to the Respondents along with interest from the date of receipt of payment by the promotor till refund to the Complainant with interest. Moreover, Section 69(1) of the Act, 2016 stipulates that "where an offence under the Act has been committed by a company, every person who, at the time, the offence was committed was in charge of, or was



responsible to the company for the conduct of, the business of the company, as well as the company, shall be deemed to be guilty of the offence and shall be liable to be proceeded against and punished accordingly."

is at State Bank of India Benchmark Prime Lending Rate plus 2% from the date of payment till the date of refund, to be computed as simple interest, as laid down in Rule 18 of Kerala Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2018. The present SBIPLR rate is 14.85%. Hence, the allowable interest rate is 14.85% + 2%= 16.85%. The relevant portions of Rule 18 of the said Rules is extracted below: "(1) The annual rate of interest payable by the promoter to the allottee or by the allottee to the promoter, as the case may be, shall be at the State Bank of India's Benchmark Prime Lending Rate plus two percent and shall be computed as simple interest. (2) In case of payment from the promoter due to the allottee, the interest on amount due shall be computed at the rate as per sub-rule (1) above from the agree date of payment on such amount from the allottee to the promoter as per the agreed payment schedule as part of the agreement for construction or sale."

14. From Exhibit.A2, it is clear that the Respondents have received an amount of Rs.35,00,000/- from the Complainants. The details of the payment made to the respondents is scheduled below:-

<u>Date</u>	Amount
12-11-2012	Rs. 12,00,000.00
12-11-2012	Rs.1,00,000.00
13-11-2012	Rs.17,00,000.00
14-09-2012	Rs.5,00,000.0



al -

15. Hence, the Complainants are entitled for refund of the amount of Rs. 35,00,000/- paid by them along with interest at the rate of 16.85% Percent per annum, as simple interest from the respective dates of payment till date of realization of amount. As per provisions of Section 52 of the Code 2016, the complainant, the secured creditor herein may approach the Liquidator for realisation of security interest under Section 52 of the Code 2016.

- 16. The Authority, after going through the facts and circumstances of the case and the documents produced by the complainants and by invoking Section 37 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 hereby directs as follows:
 - i) The Respondents shall return the total amount of, Rs.35,00,000/received by them, as scheduled above, with simple interest @
 16.85% per annum, to the Complainants, from the respective
 dates of payment, as shown in the schedule above, till the date of
 realisation of amount.
 - ii) If the Respondents fail to pay the aforesaid sum with interest as directed above within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of this order, the Complainants are at liberty to recover the aforesaid sum from the Respondents and their assets by executing this decree in accordance with Section 40 (1) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 and the Rules thereunder and in this particular case, the Complainants are also at liberty to



proceed under the Code, 2016 for realisation of security interest under Section 52 of the Code, 2016 by intimating the liquidator.

iii) The registry shall forward a copy of this order to the Liquidator of Samson & Sons Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd., appointed under the Code, 2016.

Sd/-Preetha P. Menon, Member. Sd/-P. H. Kurian, Chairman

True Copy/Forwarded By/Order

Secretary (Legal)

APPENDIX

Exhibits marked on the side of the Complainants

Exhibit A1: The copy of agreement for sale and construction dated

14-09-2012.

Exhibit A2 Series: The copy of receipts issued by the 2nd Respondent, for the payments made by the Complainant

Exhibit A2(a) The copy of receipt of Rupees Twelve lakh paid on 12-11-2012

Exhibit A2(b) The copy of receipt of Rupees One lakh paid on 12-11-2012

Exhibit A2(c) The copy of receipt of Rupees Seventeen lakh paid on 13-11-2012

Exhibit A2(d) The copy of receipt of Rupees Five lakh paid on 14-09-2012

Exhibit A3: The copy of Brochure of the project

Exhibit A4: The copy of photographs of the project site.

Exhibit A5: The copy of lawyer notice dated 03-05-2021 sent by

the Complainant to the Respondent

Exhibit A6: The copy of Order in I.A No. 968/21 in C.C.No.

174/2016 dated 18-04-2013 of the Kerala State Consumer disputes Redressal Commission,

Thiruvananthapuram

Exhibit A7: The copy of final Order in C.C.No. 143/2016 dated

15-06-2013 of the Kerala State Consumer disputes

Redressal Commission, Thiruvananthapuram.

Exhibit A8: The copy of order of the NCLT Kochi Branch No I.A.

(IBC) 157 (KOB)/2023 in CP(IB)/05/KOB/2021 dated 26-04-2023 appointing Sri. K. Parameswaran Nair, the Insolvency Resolution Professional as the Liquidator of M/s Samson and Sons Builders and Developers Pvt.

Ltd

Exhibits marked on the side of the Respondents

NIL

